HELDRANDUM

11 Sapt 1951

TO : Chief of

SUBJ: Security Violation.

1. regarding a bream of security on the part of some personnel in the following is a summation of his story given to me on the afternoon of 6 September:

One of his agents, who is a friend of two who worked for has reported to his that the group in its doing a lot of loose talking; are not dissimilated with the way in which they are forced to live - they are virtually prisoners under a result to take-oil. Sut he sain, one strongest complaint coming from the group was that they were subjugated frequently to lie-detector and truth sarm tests.

2. said that the information had been received by his on 5 Sept and that he did not want to make an official report but only to warn us to ease up on the tests so that we would not be picked up by for the police. He had instructed his agent not to mention the matter to anyone, then questioned on how much credence he gave to the reliability of his agent, his reply us 100%. He refused to divulge the names of the two who had given the information to his agent but said that this wys of information could be obtained from the property of the continue of the group.

3. Wilmression is that he was definitely on a fishing expedition. I feel sure that he knows of the content of the group if one were interest that is difficult to obtain is the cytant to which lie-detection and truth sorum tests are utilized by I it is this information which I believe he tried, but failed, to obtain.

4. Although there have been indications that the group at is not too satisfied, it has been my impression that the utilization

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

4-110

of lie-detection and truth serum tests by this been a closely guarded secret, one which would not be available to this group. The possibility that does posses information of the type brings to mind that some members of the translation group might have passed some vague blints to group might have passed some vague blints to group the incidents which have taken place recently in the

section.

5. Either story was a complete fabrication with the hope of obtaining information, or there was a security violation by two resters of the translation staff.